arXiv:1412.3754v1 [math.DG] 11 Dec 2014

Halfspace type Theorems for Self-Shrinkers

Marcos P. Cavalcante[†1], José M. Espinar[‡2]

[†] Universidade Federal de Alagoas
e-mail: marcos@pos.mat.ufal.br

[‡]Instituto Nacional de Matemática Pura e Aplicada
e-mail: jespinar@impa.br

Abstract

In this short paper we extend the classical Hoffman-Meeks Halfspace Theorem [9] to self-shrinkers, that is:

Let P be a hyperplane passing through the origin. The only properly immersed self-shrinker Σ contained in one of the closed half-space determined by P is $\Sigma = P$.

Our proof is geometric and uses a catenoid type hypersurface discovered by Kleene-Moller [11]. Also, using a similar geometric idea, we obtain that the only complete self-shrinker properly immersed in an closed cylinder $\overline{B^{k+1}(R)} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, for some $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and radius R, $R \leq \sqrt{2k}$, is the cylinder $\mathbb{S}^k(\sqrt{2k}) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$. We also extend the above results for $\lambda-$hypersurfaces.

1 Introduction

The classical Halfspace Theorem for minimal surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^3$ asserts:

> **Theorem [9]:** *A connected, proper, possibly branched, nonplanar minimal surface Σ in $\mathbb{R}^3$ is not contained in a halfspace.*

The proof of the above result is a clever use of catenoids in $\mathbb{R}^3$ and the Maximum Principle. We should point out that the Halfspace Theorem is not true for minimal hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 4$, since the behavior at infinity of catenoids in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 4$, is quite different from catenoids in $\mathbb{R}^3$.

Since then, many other generalizations have been made, see [12, 14] and reference therein for recents works on the subject. The above cited results do not apply in our situation since they focus on surfaces. Here, we will work in any dimension.

[1]The author is partially supported by CNPq-Brazil.
[2]The author is partially supported by Spanish MEC-FEDER Grant MTM2013-43970-P and CNPq-Brazil.

1.1 Self-shrinkers in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$

Let $X : (0,T) \times \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be a one parameter family of smooth hypersurfaces moving by its mean curvature, that is, X satisfies

$$\frac{dX}{dt} = -H\,N$$

where N is the unit normal along $\Sigma_t = X(t,\Sigma)$ and H is its mean curvature, here, H is the trace of the second fundamental form. With this convention, if Σ_t is oriented by the outer normal N, then Σ_t is mean convex provided $H(\Sigma_t) \leq 0$.

Self-similiar solutions to the mean curvature flow are a special class of solutions, they correspond to solutions that a later time slice is scaled (up or down depending if it is expander or shrinker) copy of an early slice. In terms of the mean curvature, Σ is said to be a self-similar solution if, with the convention above, it satisfies the following equation

$$H = c\,\langle x, N\rangle, \tag{1.1}$$

where $c = \pm\frac{1}{2}$, x is the position vector in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ is the standard Euclidean metric. Here, if $c = -\frac{1}{2}$ then Σ is said a **self-shrinker** and if $c = +\frac{1}{2}$ then Σ is called **self-expander**.

First, we extend the Hoffman-Meeks Halfspace Theorem for self-shrinkers in any dimension. Our proof is geometrical and uses a catenoid type hypersurface discovered by Kleene-Moller [11].

Theorem 1.1. *Let P be a hyperplane passing through the origin. The only properly immersed self-shrinker contained in one of the closed half-space determined by P is $\Sigma = P$.*

Moreover, using a stability argument and the Maximum Principle, following the ideas of [7], we are able to extend the above result to Halfspace type theorems for properly immersed self-shrinkers contained in a cylinder. Specifically:

Theorem 1.2. *The only complete self-shrinker properly immersed in an closed cylinder $\overline{B^{k+1}(R)}\times \mathbb{R}^{n-k} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, for some $k \in \{1,\dots,n\}$ and radius R, $R \leq \sqrt{2k}$, is the cylinder $\mathbb{S}^k(\sqrt{2k})\times\mathbb{R}^{n-k}$.*

Remark 1.1. *An analytical proof of Theorem 1.1 is done in [13, Theorem 19]. The Theorem 1.2 is in the spirit of [13, Theorem 2]. Here, we replace the hypothesis on the boundedness of the mean curvature of Σ, $|H| \leq \sqrt{2k}$, by properness on the cylinder. It would be interesting to know if both conditions are equivalent or not.*

1.2 Self-similar solutions as weighted minimal surfaces

It is interesting to recall here that self-similiar solutions to the mean curvature flow in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ can be seen as weighted minimal hypersurfaces in the Euclidean space endowed with the corresponding density (c.f. G. Huisken [10] or T. Colding and W. Minicozzi [4, 5]). We will explain this in more detail.

In a Riemannian manifold $(\mathcal{N}, g)$ there is a natural associated measure, that is, the Riemannian volume measure $dv_g \equiv dv$. More generally, we can consider Riemannian measure spaces, that is, triples $(\mathcal{N}, g, m)$, where m is a smooth measure on $\mathcal{N}$. Equivalently by the Radon-Nikodým Theorem we can consider triples $(\mathcal{N}, g, \phi)$, where $\phi \in C^\infty(\mathcal{N})$ is a smooth function so that $dm = e^\phi dv$. The triple $(\mathcal{N}, g, \phi)$ is called a *manifold with density* ϕ.

One of the first examples of a manifold with density appeared in the realm of probablity and statistics, the Gaussian Space, i.e., the Euclidean Space endowed with its standard flat metric and the Gaussian density $e^{-\pi|x|^2}$ (see [2, 6] for a detailed exposition in the context of isoperimetric problems). In 1985, D. Bakry and M. Émery [1] studied manifolds with density in the context of difussion equations. They introduced the so-called *Bakry-Émery-Ricci tensor* in the study of diffusion processes given by

$$\mathrm{Ric}_\phi = \mathrm{Ric} - \overline{\nabla}^2 \phi, \tag{1.2}$$

where Ric is the Ricci tensor associated to $(\mathcal{N}, g)$ and $\overline{\nabla}^2$ is the Hessian with respect to the ambient metric g. However, manifolds with density appear in many other fields of mathematics.

M. Gromov [8] considered manifolds with density as *mm-spaces* and introduced the generalized mean curvature of a hypersurface $\Sigma \subset (\mathcal{N}, g, \phi)$ or *weighted mean curvature* as a natural generalization of the mean curvature, obtained by the first variation of the weighted area

$$H_\phi = H - g\left(N, \overline{\nabla}\phi\right). \tag{1.3}$$

Definition 1.1. *Let $\Sigma \subset (\mathcal{N}, g, \phi)$ be an oriented hypersurface. We say that Σ is $\phi-$minimal if and only if the weighted mean curvature vanishes, i.e., $H_\phi(\Sigma) = 0$. More generally, an immersed hypersurface Σ has constant weighted mean curvature $H_\phi(\Sigma) = H_0$ (see [4, 5, 10]).*

It is straightforward to check that self-shrinker (resp. self-expander) are weighted minimal hypersurfaces in $(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, \langle,\rangle, \phi)$ with density $\phi := -\frac{|x|^2}{4}$ (resp. $\widetilde{\phi} := \frac{|x|^2}{4}$). Henceforth, we will denote $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_\phi = (\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, \langle,\rangle, \phi)$, where $\phi = -\frac{|x|^2}{4}$.

Remark 1.2. *Recently, Cheng and Wei [3] introduced the notion of λ-hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. We say that an oriented hypersurface $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is a* λ-hypersurface *if it satisfies the equation*

$$H + \frac{1}{2}\langle N, x\rangle = \lambda.$$

Note that a $\lambda-$hypersurface is nothing but a constant weighted mean curvature $H_\phi = \lambda$ hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_\phi$.

For $\lambda-$hypersurfaces we prove:

Theorem 1.3. *Set $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Let P_λ be a hyperplane defined by $\{x_{n+1} = \lambda\}$. The only properly immersed λ-hypersurface contained in $\{x_{n+1} \geq \lambda\}$ is $\Sigma = P_\lambda$.*

Remark 1.3. *Note that Theorem 1.3 is not true for constant mean curvature surfaces in the Euclidean Space.*

Moreover, we show

Theorem 1.4. *The only complete λ-hypersurface properly immersed in an closed cylinder $\overline{B^{k+1}(R)} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, for some $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and radius R satisfying $\frac{R}{2} - \frac{k}{R} \leq \lambda$, is the cylinder $\mathbb{S}^k(R) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$, with $R = \lambda + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{4\lambda^2 + 8k}$.*

2 Some examples

In this section we remind the properties of some important hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_\phi$ that we will use later. Recall that $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_\phi \equiv (\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, \langle , \rangle, \phi)$, where $\phi := -\frac{|x|^2}{4}$. Also, we denote

$$H_\phi = H + \frac{1}{2}\langle x, N\rangle.$$

As we have seen above, weighted minimal hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_\phi$, $H_\phi \equiv 0$, correspond to self-shrinkers in $(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, \langle , \rangle)$.

2.1 Spheres

Let $\mathbb{S}^n(R)$ be the rotationally symmetric n-dimensional sphere centered at the origin of radius R. Let N denote the outward orientation. Then, the usual mean curvature with respect to the outward orientation is $H = -\frac{n}{R}$. Moreover, since the position vector and the outward normal point at the same direction, we have $\langle x, N\rangle = R$. Therefore,

$$H_\phi = -\frac{n}{R} + \frac{R}{2},$$

hence,

- $\mathbb{S}^n(R)$ has constant $H_\phi = \frac{R}{2} - \frac{n}{R} > 0$ for $R > \sqrt{2n}$.
- $\mathbb{S}^n(\sqrt{n})$ is a self-shrinker.
- $\mathbb{S}^n(R)$ has constant $H_\phi = \frac{R}{2} - \frac{n}{R} < 0$ for $R < \sqrt{2n}$.

2.2 Hyperplanes

Let P_t, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, be the hyperplane given by

$$P_t := \{x_{n+1} = t\}$$

and we consider the upwards orientation $N_t = e_{n+1}$.

As hypersurface in the Euclidean Space is a minimal hypersurface, that is, $H = 0$. Moreover, the position vector along P_t can be writen as $x := X + te_{n+1}$, where X is orthogonal to e_{n+1}. Thus, $\langle x, N_t \rangle = t$ along P_t for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. So

- P_t has constant $H_\phi = \frac{t}{2} > 0$ for $t > 0$.
- P_0 is a self-shrinker.
- P_t has constant $H_\phi = \frac{t}{2} < 0$ for $t < 0$.

Remark 2.1. *Note that at the highest point of the self-shrinker sphere $\mathbb{S}^n(\sqrt{2n})$, we have that $P_{\sqrt{2n}}$ is above $\mathbb{S}^n(\sqrt{2n})$, they are tangent at one point and both normal, as we have considered here, point at the same direction. But this not contradicts the Maximum Principle since $H_\phi(P_{\sqrt{2n}}) > H_\phi(\mathbb{S}^n(\sqrt{2n})) = 0$.*

2.3 Cylinders

Consider the cylinder centered at the origin given by $C_R^k := \mathbb{S}^k(R) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$, $1 \leq k \leq n$. As we did before, we know that $H(C_R^k) = \frac{k}{R}$ and $\langle x, N_{k,R} \rangle = R$, where $N_{k,R}$ is the outward orientation. Therefore, Therefore,

$$H_\phi(C_R^k) = \frac{R}{2} - \frac{k}{R},$$

hence,

- C_R^k has constant $H_\phi = \frac{R}{2} - \frac{k}{R} > 0$ for $R > \sqrt{2k}$.
- $C_{\sqrt{k}}^k$ is a self-shrinker.
- C_R^k has constant $H_\phi = \frac{R}{2} - \frac{k}{R} < 0$ for $R < \sqrt{2k}$.

2.4 Half Catenoid

Here, we will describe a rotationally symmetric example that is of capital importance in our work. These are the rotationally symmetric self-shrinkers contained in a halfspace, embedded and with boundary on the hyperplane that defines the halfspace. This example is given by (see [11, Theorem 3])

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \psi_\theta: & [0,+\infty)\times\mathbb{S}^{n-1} & \to & \mathbb{R}^{n+1}\equiv\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R} \\ & (t,\omega) & \to & (u_\theta(t)\omega,-t) \end{array} \tag{2.1}$$

where $u_\theta:[0,+\infty)\to\mathbb{R}^+$ has the following properties:

1. $u_\theta(t)>\theta\, t$ and $u_\theta(0)<\sqrt{2(n-1)}$.
2. $\frac{u_\theta(t)}{t}\to\theta$ and $u'_\theta(t)\to\theta$ as $t\to+\infty$.
3. u_θ is strictly convex and $0<u'_\theta<\theta$ holds on $[0,+\infty)$.

Moreover, its normal vector field is given by

$$N_\theta=\frac{1}{(1+(u'_\theta)^2)^{1/2}}(\omega,u'_\theta),$$

and, since $\mathcal{C}_\theta:=\psi_\theta([0,+\infty)\times\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ is a self-shrinker for all $\theta>0$, we have

$$H_\phi(\mathcal{C}_\theta)=0.$$

One important observation is the following:

Remark 2.2. *The half-catenoids $\mathcal{C}_\theta$ interpolates between the plane $P_0:=\{x_{n+1}=0\}$ and the half-cylinder $C^{n-1}_{\sqrt{2(n-1)}}\cap\{x_{n+1}\le 0\}$. Actually,*

- $\mathcal{C}_\theta\to C^{n-1}_{\sqrt{2(n-1)}}\cap\{x_{n+1}\le 0\}$ *as* $\theta\to 0$.
- $\mathcal{C}_\theta\to P_0$ *as* $\theta\to+\infty$.

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

We will argue by contradiction, so assume that $\Sigma\subset\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_\phi$ is a properly immersed self-shrinker contained in a halfspace determined by P_0 and Σ is not P_0. We can assume that $\Sigma\subset\{x_{n+1}\ge 0\}$.

First, note that the function $h:\Sigma\to\mathbb{R}$, given by $h(p)=\langle p,e_{n+1}\rangle$, can not have a minimum. Otherwise, there would exist a point p_0 so that $h_0=h(p_0)\le h(p)$. This implies that Σ and P_{h_0} have a contact point at p_0, Σ is above P_{h_0} (with respect to the upward orientation) and $H_\phi(\Sigma)<H_\phi(P_{h_0})$. This contradicts the Maximum Principle.

Therefore, we can assume that Σ approaches some hyperplane P_t, $t\ge 0$, at infinity.

Since Σ is proper, there exists $\epsilon>0$ so that $D(\sqrt{2(n-1)})\times[0,t+\epsilon]\cap\Sigma=\emptyset$, where $D(\sqrt{2(n-1)})\subset P_0$ is the Euclidean $(n-1)-$ball centered at the origin of radius $\sqrt{2(n-1)}$.

Now, we translate upwards the family of half-catenoids $\mathcal{C}_\theta$. We denote

$$\mathcal{C}_{\theta,s}:=\mathcal{C}_\theta+s\,e_{n+1},$$

for $s \geq t$.

One can easily see that the normal $N_{\theta,s}$ along $\mathcal{C}_{\theta,s}$ satisfies $\langle N_{\theta,s}, e_{n+1}\rangle > 0$ and

$$H_\phi(\mathcal{C}_{\theta,s}) = \frac{s\, u'_\theta}{(1+(u'_\theta)^2)^{1/2}} > 0,$$

which is positive along $\mathcal{C}_{\theta,s}$.

Therefore, take $s \in (t, t+\epsilon)$, then $\partial\mathcal{C}_{\theta,s}$ does not touch Σ for all $\theta \in (0,+\infty)$. Note that $\mathcal{C}_{\theta,s} \to C^{n-1}_{\sqrt{2(n-1)}} \cap \{x_{n+1} \leq s\}$ as $\theta \to 0$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\theta,s} \to P_s$ as $\theta \to +\infty$. Also, note that $\mathcal{C}_{\theta,s}$ is not asymptotic to any hyperplane P_t, $t \leq s$. In fact, $\mathcal{C}_{\theta,s}$ is asymptotic to a cone for $\theta > 0$.

Therefore, since Σ approaches P_t, there exists θ_0 so that $\mathcal{C}_{\theta_0,s}$ has a finite first contact point with Σ as θ increases from 0. Clearly, both normals point upwards and Σ is above $\mathcal{C}_{\theta_0,s}$, but $H_\phi(\mathcal{C}_{\theta_0,s}) > H_\phi(\Sigma) = 0$, which contradicts the Maximum Principle.

Thus, $\Sigma \equiv P_0$. This finishes the proof.

4 Proof of Theorem 1.2

We split the proof in two cases: the case of the ball, which is simple, and the case of a non degenerated cylinder, where we use a stability argument following ideas in [7].

4.1 Self-shirinkers in a ball

Since Σ is proper in $\overline{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}(R)}$, where $\mathbb{B}^{n+1}(R)$ is the Euclidean $(n+1)-$ball centered at the origin of radius R, we have that Σ is compact. In particular, there exists $p \in \Sigma$ such that

$$d(p, \mathbb{S}^n(R)) = \operatorname{dist}(\Sigma, \mathbb{S}^n(R)).$$

Therefore, we may choose $R' \leq R$ such that Σ and $\mathbb{S}^n(R')$ are tangent at p. Since the weighted mean curvature of $\mathbb{S}^n(R')$ is given by $H_\phi = \frac{R'}{2} - \frac{n}{R'} \leq 0$, the Maximum Principle implies that $R' = R = \sqrt{2n}$ and $\Sigma = \mathbb{S}^n(\sqrt{2n})$.

4.2 Self-shirinkers in cylinders

We will argue by contradiction. So, assume Σ is not $\mathbb{S}^k(\sqrt{2k}) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$.

We start with an important lemma about the stability of cylinders as self-shrinkers. We recall (see for instance [6]) that the first variation of the weighted area funcional of an immersed hypersurface Σ in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_\phi$ is given by the weighted mean curvature H_ϕ, while the second variation is given by the following Jacobi operator:

$$J_\phi u = \Delta u - \frac{1}{2}\langle x\,, \nabla u\rangle + (|A|^2 + \operatorname{Ric}_\phi(N))u, \quad u \in C^\infty_0(\Sigma).$$

It is easy to see that J_ϕ is selfadjoint with respect to the weighted L^2-norm given by

$$(u,v)_{L^2_\phi} = \int_\Sigma uve^\phi \, dv, \;\; u,v \in C^\infty_0(\Sigma).$$

We say that Σ is *stable* in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_\phi$ if the Jacobi operator J_ϕ is nonpositve on Σ, that is, if the quadratic form $Q_\phi(u,v) = (J_\phi u, v)_{L^2_\phi}$ is nonpositive for all $u, v \in C^\infty_0(\Sigma)$. Otherwise we say that Σ is *unstable*. Notice that if there exist a positive constant c_0 and a non trivial function $u \in C^\infty_0(\Sigma)$ such that $J_\phi u \geq c_0 u$, then Σ is unstable. In the latter case, small variations of Σ given by u decrease the weighted mean curvature. This is actually what happens with the self-shirinkers cylinders as we see bellow.

Lemma 4.1. *For any $k \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ and $R > 0$, the cylinders $C^k_R \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_\phi$ are unstables hypersurfaces with respect to the Jacobi operator J_ϕ.*

Proof. For cylinders C^k_R we have

$$|A|^2 = \frac{k}{R^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{Ric}_\phi(N) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Given $r > 0$ we consider

$$u(p, \overline{t}) = u(\overline{t}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n-k} \cos\Big(\frac{\pi}{r} t_i\Big)$$

as a test function, where $p \in \mathbb{S}^k$ and $\overline{t} = (t_1, \ldots, t_{n-k}) \in \big(-\frac{r}{2}, \frac{r}{2}\big)^{n-k}$. Then a direct computation yields

$$-\frac{1}{2}\langle x, \nabla u\rangle = \frac{\pi}{2r} \sum_{i=1}^{n-k} t_i \sin\Big(\frac{\pi}{r} t_i\Big) \prod_{j=1,\, j\neq i}^{n-k} \cos\Big(\frac{\pi}{r} t_j\Big) \geq 0, \quad \text{for } (t_1, \ldots, t_{n-k}) \in \Big(-\frac{r}{2}, \frac{r}{2}\Big)^{n-k},$$

and $\Delta u = -\frac{n-k}{r^2}\, \pi^2\, u$. Thus we get

$$J_\phi u \geq \Big(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{k}{R^2} - \frac{n-k}{r^2}\pi^2\Big) u.$$

Finally, we can choose $r > 0$ big enough so that

$$c = c(k, R, n, r) := \frac{1}{2} + \frac{k}{R^2} - \frac{n-k}{r^2}\pi^2 > 0.$$

This concludes the proof. □

Now fix $k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ and we assume that Σ is a hypersurface properly immersed in the closed cylinder $\overline{B^{k+1}(R)} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. If $dist(\Sigma, C_R^k)$ is attained at a finite point $p \in \Sigma$, then we can apply the Maximum Principle using as barriers the cylinders $C_{R'}^k$, $R' \leq R$, to get a contradiction. So the distance is not attained at a finite point and without loss of generality we may assume that $dist(\Sigma, C_R^k) = 0$.

Let $r > 0$ big enough such that the function

$$u(p, \bar{t}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n-k} \cos\Big(\frac{\pi}{r} t_i\Big),$$

given in Lemma 4.1, satisfies

$$J_\phi u \geq cu$$

for some positive constant c.

Now, we consider the compactly supported variation normal of C_R^k given by the vector field $X = u\tilde{N}$, here $\tilde{N}$ is the normal along C_R^k and it is given by

$$\tilde{N}(p, \bar{t}) = \frac{1}{R}(p, 0)\,, \ (p, \bar{t}) \in \mathbb{S}^k(R) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k} = C_R^k.$$

The family of compact with boundary hypersurfaces associated to such variation is given by the normal variation of a peace of C_R^k in the direction of u. Namely,

$$\Sigma_s = \Big\{(p, \overline{t}) + \frac{s}{R} u(\overline{t})(p, 0) : (p, \overline{t}) \in \mathbb{S}^k(R) \times \Big(-\frac{r}{2}, \frac{r}{2}\Big)^{n-k}\Big\}, \quad s \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon),$$

for some $\epsilon > 0$ small enough.

On the one hand, we should note that $\partial\Sigma_s \subset C_R^k$ and therefore $\partial\Sigma_s \cap \Sigma = \emptyset$ for all $s \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$, the unit normal along Σ_s pointing outwards. Also, a straightforward computation shows that

$$N_s(p, \bar{t}) = \frac{R}{\sqrt{R^2 + s^2 \|\tilde{\nabla} u\|^2}} (p, -\frac{s}{R} \tilde{\nabla} u(\bar{t})),$$

where N_s is the outward normal along Σ_s and $\tilde{\nabla} u$ denotes the gradient in $\mathbb{R}^{n-k}$.

Moreover, we know (see [2]) that

$$H_\phi'(0) = -J_\phi u \leq -cu < 0,$$

which means that the weighted mean curvature of Σ_s is strictly negative, i.e., $H_\phi^s(q) < 0$ for all $q \in \Sigma_s$, for all $s \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$. Possibly we must shrink ϵ.

Since Σ is proper we have that $\Sigma_s \cap \Sigma = \emptyset$, $\forall s \in (-\epsilon, 0)$. On the other hand, since $dist(\Sigma, C_R^k) = 0$ and it is not attained we can choose a sequence $q_j = (p_j, \overline{t}_j) \in \mathbb{S}^k(R) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$ such that $\lim dist(\Sigma, q_j) = 0$ and $\lim \|\overline{q}_j\| = \infty$. Consider

$$v_j = \frac{q_j}{\|q_j\|} \to (0, v_\infty) \text{ as } j \to +\infty,$$

where $v_\infty \in \mathbb{S}^{n-k-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$. We can assume that $v_\infty = (0,\dots,0,1)$, since the problem is invariant under rotations of the Euclidean Space.

The idea here is to translate Σ_s in the direction of v_∞ and find a first contact point and so, to apply the Maximum Principle at this point to get a contradiction. Let us consider the translated hypersurfaces

$$\Sigma_{s,h} = \Sigma_s + hv_\infty, \quad s \in (-\epsilon, 0),\, h \geq 0.$$

As we did in Theorem 1.1, note that the mean curvature and the outward unit normal vector field of Σ_s and $\Sigma_{s,h}$ coincide at the corresponding points. Hence, we can compute the weighted mean curvature $H_\phi^{s,h}$ of $\Sigma_{s,h}$ as

$$H_\phi^{s,h}(q + hv_\infty) = H_\phi^s(q) + h\langle v_\infty, N_s(q)\rangle,\ q = (p,\bar{t}) \in \Sigma_s.$$

A straightforward computation (see Lemma 4.1) yields that

$$\langle v_\infty, N_s(q)\rangle = s\frac{\pi\, u(\bar{t})}{r\sqrt{R^2 + \|\tilde{\nabla} u\|^2}} \tan\Big(\frac{\pi}{r} t_{n-k}\Big).$$

Since $s < 0$, if the first point of tangency $\tilde{q} + hv_\infty$ occurs for $h > 0$, then $t_{n-k} \in (0, r/2)$. If it it occurs for $h < 0$, then $t_{n-k} \in (-r/2, 0)$. In any case we have that $h\langle v_\infty, N_s(q)\rangle < 0$. Therefore, in the first tangency point we get

$$H_\phi^{s,h}(\tilde{q} + hv_\infty) \leq H_\phi^s(\tilde{q}) < 0,$$

which gives the desired contradiction by the Maximum Principle. Hence, $\Sigma = \mathbb{S}^k(\sqrt{2k}) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$.

5 Proof of Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4

We first show:

Lemma 5.1. *For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, the hyperplane $P_\lambda = \{x_{n+1} = \lambda\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_\phi$ is unstable with respect to the Jacobi operator J_ϕ.*

Proof. We argue as in Lemma 4.1. For hyperplanes P_λ we have $|A|^2 = 0$ and $\mathrm{Ric}_\phi(N) = \frac{1}{2}$. Hence, given $r > 0$ we consider

$$u(t_1,\dots,t_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n \cos\Big(\frac{\pi}{r} t_i\Big),\ (t_1,\dots,t_n) \in \big(-\frac{r}{2}, \frac{r}{2}\big)^n,$$

as a test function. Then a direct computation yields

$$J_\phi u \geq \Big(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{n}{r^2}\pi^2\Big) u.$$

Finally, we can choose $r > 0$ big enough so that

$$c := \frac{1}{2} - \frac{n}{r^2}\pi^2 > 0.$$

□

Thus, arguing as in Theorem 1.2, we can prove Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4.

Remark 5.1. *Note that we could prove Theorem 1.1 using Lemma 5.1 and the argument in Theorem 1.2. Nevertheless, we prefer the proof given here using the Catenoid type hypersurfaces of Kleene-Moller.*

References

[1] D. Bakry, M. Émery, *Diffusions Hypercontractives*, Séminaire de probabilités XIX, 1983/4, 177–206. Lecture Notes in Math. **1123**, Springer, Berlin, 1985.

[2] A. Cañete, V. Bayle, F. Morgan, C. Rosales, *On the isoperimetric problem in Euclidean space with density*, Cal. Var. and PDEs, **31** (2008) **no. 1**, 27–46.

[3] Q. -M. Cheng, G. Wei, *The Gauss image of λ-hypersurfaces and a Bernstein type problem*, arXiv:1410.5302 [math.DG].

[4] T. Colding, W. Minicozzi, *Generic mean curvature flow I; generic singularities*, Ann. Math., **175** (2012) **no. 2**, 755–833.

[5] T. Colding, W. Minicozzi, *Smooth compactness of self-shrinkers*, Comm. Math. Helv., **87** (2012) **no. 2**, 463–475.

[6] J. M. Espinar, *Manifolds with Density, applications and Gradient Schrödinger Operators*. Preprint.

[7] J. M. Espinar, H. Rosenberg, *Complete constant mean curvature surfaces and Bernstein type theorems in $M^2 \times \mathbb{R}$*. J. Differential Geom. **82** (2009), **no. 3**, 611–628.

[8] M. Gromov, *Isoperimetric of waists and concentration of maps*, Geom. Funct. Anal., **13** (2003) **no. 1**, 178–205.

[9] D. Hoffman, W. H. Meeks, *The strong halfspace theorem for minimal surfaces*, Invet. Math., **101** (1990), 373–377.

[10] G. Huisken, *Asymptotic behavior for singularities of the mean curvature flow*, J. Differential Geom., **31** (1990) **no. 1**, 285–299.

[11] S. J. Kleene, N. M. Moller, *Self-shrinkers with a rotational symmetry*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **366** (2014), 3943–3963.

[12] L. Mazet, *A general halfspace theorem for constant mean curvature surfaces*, Amer. J. Math., **135** (2013), 801–834.

[13] S. Pigola, M. Rimoldi, *Complete self-shrinkers confined into some regions of the space*, Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom., **45** (2014), 47–65.

[14] H. Rosenberg, F. Schultze, J. Spruck, *The halfspace property and entire minimal graphs in $M \times \mathbb{R}$*, J. Differential Geom., **95** (2013) **no. 2**, 321–336.